

Preparedness for approval in 1L mHNSCC

We maintain our OVERWEIGHT rating and PT of \$1.05/sh on Immutep. The prospect of an accelerated approval (AA) for Efti in combination with KEYTRUDA, treating first line metastatic head and neck cancer (mHNSCC) patients with PD-L1 negative tumours took a step forward this week with the TACTI-003-B update. Median overall survival (mOS) hasn't been reached yet but looks on track to thrash the KEYTRUDA+EXTREME combo which serves as SoC (i.e hopeful of a 3+ month benefit). The wider that mOS gap the better, because although the surrogate endpoints we might look to for an AA (e.g. objective response, durability) also have great deltas (albeit in a cross-trial comparative sense versus KEYNOTE-048, remembering that TACTI-003-B was single arm) the sample size here is tiny. In favour: 'small n' AA predicates in oncology, the existing mHNSCC therapeutic options are dire and Efti is an incredibly safe molecule to administer. Upside from an AA is about 20% (to \$1.25/sh) via our model; but possibly more because proximity to first revenues would be a profound phase shift for IMM's stock appeal.

Key Points

TACTI-003-B updated results. The steadily climbing complete response (CR) rates are what investors (and regulators) should be focusing on. The latest data cut (12.9% CR by RECIST1.1) is now quadruple that seen in KEYNOTE-048, the most relevant comparator study (KEYTRUDA+ the 'EXTREME' chemo combo). The median duration of response of 9.3 months is already 2.6 months ahead of that standard of care (6.7 months). We assess the Efti+KEYTRUDA combination is on track for at least 15 months mOS, noting that in a group of 31 evaluable patients the 16th 'event' will define the outcome. A clear 3+ month mOS benefit versus KEYNOTE-048 would start positioning Efti+KEYTRUDA for 'superiority' as the argument for an AA. A small efficacy population is a caveat to consider but we provide 'small n' AA predicates to help assess.

A worthy development option targeting US\$450M peak sales in CPS<1 mHNSCC. MSD's further involvement in Phase III will be welcomed but is contingent on striking a new agreement with Immutep. Their enthusiasm for this indication is impossible to predict and could take various forms; from opting in to a full blown campaign (e.g. taking TACTI-004 as a template, remembering the efficacy in the 2L and considering prospects for future expansion into locally advanced disease and even neoadjuvant HNSCC settings, where Efti is mechanistically appealing); or opting out, happy to 'do no harm' as a passive beneficiary of KEYTRUDA upside, stemming from Immutep's targeted success in the CPS<1s. It's important to say at this point that independent commercialisation should be and is the base case on which mHNSCC contributes to our Immutep forecasts and valuation. In this report we also assess Immutep's organisational and technical preparedness for a briefer path to first commercial revenue, if that's where TACTI-003-B leads them. The business is in excellent shape for that, behind the scenes.

Valuation. Maintain O/W. SOTP valuation of \$1.05/sh comprises: a) Efti 1L NSCLC (\$0.75/sh); b) Efti in mBC (\$0.21/share); and c) Efti in HNSCC (\$0.09/sh). Unrisked \$6.57/sh. An accelerated approval in HNSCC (potentially deliverable in 18 months) would elevate HNSCC component to \$0.21/sh (Efti launch drawn forward two years; p [success] 52%→100%). Group PT would move to \$1.25/sh with early revenue obviating capital demand from FY26e.

Financial summary (Y/E Jun, AUD)	FY23A	FY24A	FY25E	FY26E	FY27E
Sales (\$m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
EBITDA norm (\$m)	(38.8)	(44.2)	(50.9)	(45.0)	(35.0)
Consensus EBITDA (\$m)			(64.7)	(77.9)	(53.0)
EPS norm (cents)	(4.5)	(3.6)	(4.3)	(2.7)	(2.1)

Source: Company data, Wilsons Advisory estimate, Refinitiv, IRESS.
All amounts are in Australian Dollar (A\$) unless otherwise stated.

Wilsons Advisory Equity Research

Analyst(s) who owns shares in the Company; n/a Issued by Wilsons Advisory and Stockbroking Limited (Wilsons Advisory) ABN 68 010 529 665 – Australian Financial Services Licence No 238375, a participant of ASX Group and should be read in conjunction with the disclosures and disclaimer in this report. Important disclosures regarding companies that are subject of this report and an explanation of recommendations can be found at the end of this document.

Recommendation	OVERWEIGHT
12-mth target price (AUD)	\$1.05
Share price @ 13-Dec-24 (AUD)	\$0.37
Forecast 12-mth capital return	187.7%
Forecast 12-mth dividend yield	0.0%
12-mth total shareholder return	187.7%

Market cap (\$m)	530.2
Enterprise value (\$m)	348.3
Shares on issue (m)	1,453
Sold short (%)	2.2
ASX All Ords weight (%)	0.0
Median turnover/day (\$m)	1.0

Dr Shane Storey

shane.storey@wilsonsadvisory.com.au

Tel. +61 7 3212 1351

12-mth price performance (\$)



	1-mth	6-mth	12-mth
Abs return (%)	17.7	(11.0)	19.7
Rel return (%)	15.9	(17.0)	4.1

Key changes		25-Jul	After	Var %
EBITDA	FY25E	(51.9)	(50.9)	2%
norm	FY26E	(45.0)	(45.0)	0%
(\$m)	FY27E		(35.0)	
EPS	FY25E	(4.5)	(4.3)	5%
norm	FY26E	(2.8)	(2.7)	3%
(cents)	FY27E		(2.1)	
Price target		1.05	1.05	0%
Rating		O/W	O/W	

Business Description

Immutep (IMM:ASX) is a clinical stage Australian biopharma operating in the immuno-oncology (IO) sector with their portfolio of LAG-3 directed biologics. Immutep have four assets under development, all with strong IP protection; two of which are out-licensed (LAG525 - Novartis, IMP731 - GSK) with attached milestone and royalty revenue optionality, with the remaining two (Efti and IMP761) being developed in-house for a range of oncology (incl. HNSCC, NSCLC, mBC) and autoimmune indications.

Catalysts

a) achievement of clinical trial endpoints; b) partnership opportunities; c) regulatory approvals (including IND approvals); d) corporate activity.

P&L (\$m)	FY23A	FY24A	FY25E	FY26E	FY27E
Sales	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
EBITDA norm	(38.8)	(44.2)	(50.9)	(45.0)	(35.0)
EBIT norm	(40.8)	(46.4)	(53.6)	(48.0)	(38.3)
PBT norm	(39.9)	(42.7)	(51.9)	(46.8)	(36.9)
NPAT norm	(39.9)	(42.7)	(51.9)	(32.7)	(25.8)
NPAT reported	(39.9)	(43.5)	(51.9)	(32.7)	(25.8)
EPS norm (cents)	(4.5)	(3.6)	(4.3)	(2.7)	(2.1)
DPS (cents)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Growth (%)	FY23A	FY24A	FY25E	FY26E	FY27E
Sales	n/m	n/m	n/m	n/m	n/m
EBITDA norm	18.6	14.0	15.3	(11.6)	(22.2)
NPAT norm	15.3	7.1	21.6	(37.0)	(21.1)
EPS norm (cents)	9.8	(20.4)	20.2	(37.0)	(21.1)
DPS (cents)	n/m	n/m	n/m	n/m	n/m

Margins and returns (%)	FY23A	FY24A	FY25E	FY26E	FY27E
-------------------------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

Interims (\$m)	2H23A	1H24A	2H24A	1H25E	2H25E
Sales	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
EBITDA norm	(18.8)	(22.2)	(22.0)	(25.4)	(25.5)
EBIT norm	(19.9)	(23.2)	(23.2)	(26.8)	(26.9)
PBT norm	(19.3)	(21.2)	(21.5)	(25.9)	(26.1)
NPAT norm	(19.3)	(21.2)	(21.5)	(25.9)	(26.1)
NPAT reported	(19.3)	(21.2)	(22.3)	(25.9)	(26.1)
EPS norm (cents)	(2.2)	(1.8)	(1.8)	(2.1)	(2.1)
DPS (cents)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Stock specific	FY23A	FY24A	FY25E	FY26E	FY27E
R&D expense (m)	(34.4)	(39.6)	(50.0)	(25.0)	(35.0)
Licensing revenue (m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Investment Thesis

We maintain our OVERWEIGHT rating and PT of \$1.05/sh on Immutep. The prospect of an accelerated approval (AA) for Efti in combination with KEYTRUDA, treating first line metastatic head and neck cancer (mHNSCC) with PD-L1 negative tumours took a step forward this week with the TACTI-003-B update. Median overall survival (mOS) hasn't been reached yet but looks on track to thrash the KEYTRUDA+EXTREME combo which serves as SoC (i.e hopeful of a 3+ month benefit).

Risks

a) adverse clinical trial outcomes; b) negative regulator interactions; c) competitive intensity of immuno-oncology field; d) available capital.

Balance sheet (\$m)	FY23A	FY24A	FY25E	FY26E	FY27E
Cash & equivalents	123.4	181.9	132.3	147.8	122.8
Current receivables	8.0	7.4	5.0	0.0	0.0
Current inventory	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PPE	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Intangibles	9.5	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2
Other assets	6.5	4.0	5.7	3.4	4.9
Total assets	147.4	201.6	151.3	159.6	136.0
Current payables	9.0	9.6	9.5	6.6	6.6
Total debt	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other liabilities	1.8	2.3	2.0	2.6	1.6
Total liabilities	11.0	12.1	11.7	9.4	8.4
Minorities	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Shareholders equity	136.5	189.5	139.6	150.2	127.6

Cash flow (\$m)	FY23A	FY24A	FY25E	FY26E	FY27E
Operating cash flow	(35.4)	(34.8)	(49.4)	(31.3)	(24.8)
Maintenance capex	(0.1)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)
Free cash flow	(35.4)	(34.9)	(49.4)	(31.3)	(24.9)
Growth capex	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Acquisitions/disposals	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Dividends paid	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other cash flow	(1.2)	(7.0)	(0.2)	(3.2)	(0.2)
Cash flow pre-financing	(36.6)	(41.8)	(49.6)	(34.5)	(25.0)
Funded by equity	80.1	100.3	0.0	50.0	0.0
Funded by cash/debt	(123.5)	(158.7)	49.6	(65.5)	25.0

Liquidity	FY23A	FY24A	FY25E	FY26E	FY27E
Cash conversion (%)	93.6	87.2	100.3	103.4	106.6
Net debt (\$m)	(123.4)	(181.9)	(132.3)	(147.8)	(122.8)
Net debt / EBITDA (x)	3.2	4.1	2.6	3.3	3.5
ND / ND + Equity (%)	(945.6)	n/m	n/m	n/m	n/m
EBIT / Interest expense (x)	44.4	12.5	31.5	38.5	27.0

Valuation	FY23A	FY24A	FY25E	FY26E	FY27E
EV / Sales (x)	n/m	n/m	n/m	n/m	n/m
EV / EBITDA (x)	n/m	n/m	n/m	n/m	n/m
EV / EBIT (x)	n/m	n/m	n/m	n/m	n/m
P / E (x)	n/m	n/m	n/m	n/m	n/m
P / BV (x)	3.2	2.3			
FCF yield (%)	(8.2)	(8.0)			
Dividend yield (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Payout ratio (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Weighted shares (m)	892.5	1,200	1,214	1,214	1,214

Source: Company data, Wilsons Advisory estimate, Refinitiv, IRESS.
All amounts are in Australian Dollar (A\$) unless otherwise stated.

TACTI-003-B: warming to an accelerated approval bid in HNSCC

TACTI-003-B update

An inexorable march towards a survival benefit in CPS<1 HNSCC patients. Part B data from the TACTI-003 Phase IIb trial in PD-L1 negative (CPS<1) 1L mHNSCC patients is maturing in a convincing way. Although the data is 'small n' and uncontrolled, the deltas Efti (in combination with KEYTRUDA) has opened up over international standards or care look promising indeed. Much still depends on where Part B's ultimate mOS lands. Here we speculate, but the steadily climbing complete response (CR) rates are what investors (and regulators) should be focusing on. The latest data cut (12.9% CR by RECIST1.1) is now quadruple that seen in KEYNOTE-048, the most relevant comparator study (see **Figure 1**, at right), where the highest CR in the CPS<1 subgroup was 3% (KEYTRUDA + EXTREME). The median duration of response of 9.3 months is already 2.6 months ahead of that standard of care (6.7 months). We assess the Efti + KEYTRUDA combination is on track for at least 15 months mOS, noting that in a group of 31 evaluable patients the 16th 'event' will define the outcome. A clear 3+ month mOS benefit versus KEYNOTE-048 would start positioning Efti+KEYTRUDA's 'superiority' as the argument for an accelerated approval. It feels like Part B is well past the fallback position of arguing for a chemo-free alternative with better safety (Grade ≥3 AEs 15% for the Efti combo vs 72%) and equivalent efficacy. The outlook for a supportive mOS result remains hopeful.

Supporting arguments. Also supporting the bid for an accelerated approval is the genuine lack of options for these patients, given that KEYTRUDA is the only immune checkpoint inhibitor to have shown a benefit over cetuximab/5-FU/platinum (EXTREME regimen). The failures of nivolumab/ipilimumab (in CheckMate 651¹) and durvalumab ± tremelimumab (KESTREL², EAGLE³) are testament to how resistant HNSCC is to treatment⁴. Worse, approximately 50% of patients in the CPS<1 HNSCC cohort either refuse or are otherwise ineligible for chemotherapy (e.g. advanced age, low performance status). KEYTRUDA monotherapy is not approved, offering just 7.9 months mOS in this population.

Small sample size the caveat. There are no hard and fast rules on sample size for accelerated approvals. As per FDA's [guidance](#), accelerated approvals are governed by the severity, rarity or prevalence of a serious or life-threatening condition and the availability or lack of alternative treatments. Predicate accelerated approvals based on single-arm trials with ORR or DoR as the approvable endpoint(s) include: a) **larotrectinib** (Loxo/Bayer; 2018 ; solid tumours with NTRK gene fusion) approved based on efficacy in the first 55 patients (176 patient safety package); b) **trastuzumab deruxtecan** (Daiichi Sankyo; 2022; HER2-mutated NSCLC) approved with a primary efficacy population of 52 patients; c) the same molecule, approved this year (2024) for HER2-positive (IHC3+) solid tumours (n=192); and d) **dostarlimab-gxly** (GSK; 2021; for mismatch repair deficient recurrent or advanced solid tumours) approved on an efficacy population of 209 patients.

Figure 1: Updated TACTI-003 data versus KEYNOTE-048 data in 1L mHNSCC

Comparison of relevant trial programs in 1L mHNSCC				
	Efti + pembrolizumab IO - IO	Pembrolizumab IO monotherapy (SOC only in 'hot' tumours)	Pembro+ chemo IO - Chemo (now SOC)	Cetuximab + chemo 2L SOC or for cold tumour patients
Checkpoint target	PD-1 + LAG-3	PD-1	PD-1	NA
Study	TACTI-003 Part B	Keynote-048	Keynote-048	Keynote-048
Phase	IIb	III	III	III
Therapy Line	1 st	1 st	1 st	1 st
n	31	301	281	278
Demographics (% male)	74%	83%	80%	87%
HPV status (% positive)	12.9% ^a	21%	21%	22%
Current smoker	26%	*	*	*
Median age	64	62	61	61
PD-L1 CPS <1	100%	15%	15%	15%
CPS 1-19		41%	40%	45%
CPS ≥ 20	0%	44%	45%	40%
Median PFS	5.8 months	2.1 months	4.9 months	5.2 months
HR (for progression)	NR	p>0.05	0.84 (p>0.05)	-
PF at 6 months	NR	25%	45%	45%
Median OS	not reached	11.6 months	13.0 months	10.7 months
mOS CPS ≥1	not reached	7.9 months	11.3 months	NR
OS at 12 months (CPS<1)	67%	39%	NR	NR
Median Duration of response	9.3 months	2.6 months	6.7 months	4.3 months
ORR (total)	35.5%	16.9%	36.0%	36.0%
ORR CPS <1	35.5%	5.4%	30.8%	39.5%
ORR CPS ≥1	-	19%	36%	36%
ORR CPS 1-19	-	14.5%	29.3%	33.6%
ORR CPS ≥20	-	23%	38%	43%
DCR (total)	58.1%	44% (32% for CPS<1)	64%	70%
Complete response (CPS<1)	13%	0%	3%	2%
Response criteria	RECIST v1.1	RECIST v1.1	RECIST v1.1	RECIST v1.1
Treatment-related Adverse Events (AEs)				
Discontinuation AEs	6%	0%	8%	9%
Grade ≥3 AEs	15%	17%	72%	69%
AEs leading to death	0%	1%	4%	3%

^aIn patients with oropharyngeal tumours only. * only current + former smoker prevalence reported, not in a LFL manner with TACTI-003 reporting thus far. NR: Not reported. Source: Immutep, MSD, Wilsons Advisory.

¹ Haddad, R. I., et al. (2023) Nivolumab plus ipilimumab versus EXTREME regimen as first-line treatment for R/M HNSCC: the final results of CheckMate 651 J. Clin. Oncol. 20: 2166 – 2180. Trial did not meet its primary endpoints of OS in all randomly assigned or CPS ≥ 20 populations.

² Psyrri, A., et al. (2023) Durvalumab with or without tremelimumab versus the EXTREME regimen as first-line treatment for recurrent or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: KESTREL, a randomized, open-label, phase III study Ann. Oncol. 34: 262 – 274.

³ Ferris, R. L., et al. (2020) Durvalumab with or without tremelimumab in patients with recurrent or metastatic head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: EAGLE, a randomized, open-label phase III study Ann. Oncol. 31: 942 – 950.

⁴ Daste, A., et al. (2024) Immunotherapy for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: current status and perspectives Immunotherapy 16: 187 – 197.

| Thoughts on process from here

Closing out TACTI-003-B | EOP2 meeting | Phase III trial planning. TACTI-003 has been conducted by Immutep, under a collaboration and supply agreement with MSD, signed in 2021. Our understanding of the agreement is that Immutep has freedom to operate should it elect to move forward and conduct Phase III trials with a view to commercialising Efti, targeting an 'in combination with pembrolizumab' marketing label, with or without MSD's involvement. In that sense, the next series of interactions with FDA (e.g an end of Phase II meeting as a natural opportunity to explore the accelerated approval idea and seek guidance with respect to Phase III) are for Immutep to manage and benefit from.

MSD's involvement will be welcomed but is contingent on striking a new agreement with Immutep. The agreement governing the TACTI-004 Phase III in 1L NSCLC (under which MSD is providing the pembrolizumab) is a potential model. A new transaction around Phase III HNSCC is obviously desirable but our sense is that MSD will want to weigh up how deeply it should go into this indication, given the encouraging (but uncontrolled) data from Part B and the randomised (but perplexing) results from Part A (as reviewed in our Jun-24 [report](#), a striking benefit in CPS \geq 20 patients; but equivocal results from the CPS 1-19 cohort, thanks to a surprising response to the pembrolizumab monotherapy control). Prior even to TACTI-003, MSD has had mixed results in HNSCC, in failing to secure a monotherapy label with KEYNOTE-048. The 1L label it has today (and only approved in combination with EXTREME) is already servicing up to 60-80% of patients, as the indication is limited to CPS \geq 1⁵. Our peak sales estimate for Efti in HNSCC (CPS<1 cohort) is US\$450M⁶ (at 20% of HNSCC), MSD stands to make similar money from KEYTRUDA in that setting, which, in the context of a US\$25B franchise, staring down biosimilar substitution from 2028, may or may not 'move the needle' for them.

What does independent HNSCC reg development look like? It's important to say at this point that independent commercialisation should be and is the base case on which HNSCC contributes to our Immutep forecasts and valuation. This includes a \$35M R&D/opex envelope, earmarked for a pivotal Phase III trial limited to the CPS<1 subgroup. MSD's potential involvement could be upside (if limited to CPS<1) or even neutral/downside if their involvement went broader because that would likely take Immutep's share of program expenses beyond what we've budgeted for (notwithstanding the indication upside on offer). In any case, FDA will probably want to see the Phase III trial enrolling patients before considering an accelerated approval. If TACTI-003-B is considered sufficient to bring the accelerated approval into frame, the logistics from there are straightforward. Efti has already been granted Fast Track designation. The three components of a BLA seem near at hand: a) an efficacy package, the guts of which is summarised in this note; b) a safety package comprising hundreds of patients from this and other clinical trials; c) a commercial scale manufacturing scheme in the process of being characterised and validated, obviously leveraging the preparatory work Immutep and its partner WuXi Biologics has done in initiating TACTI-004.

What if Efti is approved? An approved Efti product presentation would sit separately from KEYTRUDA as a subcutaneous injectable, with an indication limited to combination therapy with pembrolizumab. It's customary for collaboration agreements to grant certain ongoing rights to co-developed data for subsequent marketing purposes so our starting assumption is that Immutep would be free to commercialise Efti using all data generated under the TACTI-003 agreement. Commercial development and sales often start (even for Pharma campaigns) using contractors like IQVIA (QuintilesIMS) providing both field sales and medical liaison product specialists.

⁵ Our research identifies a wide range of estimates as to what proportion of 1L mHNSCC patients have CPS<1 (16-61%). Immutep references 20% based on MSD's KEYNOTE-048 study – noting that was convenience sampling and not a reflection of real-world incidence. A meta-analysis of 23 trials conducted in HNSCC reports a ~41% proportion of CPS<1 patients, with another six-study analysis suggesting 36%.

⁶ Our peak sales estimate for Efti in HNSCC (CPS<1) is US\$450M, treating approximately patients in those years. As a reminder, we model wholesale acquisition costs (WACs) of US\$168K and US\$100K for the Efti component of the combination in US and EU5 markets, respectively. The treatment schedule is taken directly from TACTI-003 and uses q2w dosing for the first 4 [6-week] cycles and q3w dosing thereafter (21 infusions in all, over the first 12 months on drug). With overall survival likely to exceed 12 months, there is likely some survivorship upside to our model. At 20% our estimate of 15,000 patients per year in 1L mHNSCC across US/EU supporting a TAM of US\$1.3B feels conservative.

Thoughts on valuation impact

Timing and optionality. If we posit a BLA filing in 2H25 (calendar) the package may be approvable by mid-2026 assuming the process is expedited with Priority Review. Commerciality would therefore be drawn forward at least two years from where it sits in our model today (2028 approval). **Figure 2** shows that we've already de-risked for TACTI-003-B but only ascribed a 52% p(success) to the accelerated approval event in mid-2026. After that, value development hinges on a confirmatory pivotal Phase III in 2H2028 and confirmation of market access milestones (principally pricing and reimbursement) approximately 18 months post-launch. As always with our real options-based valuations, value is ultimately driven by the underpinning market model, which as we've described, looks for US\$450M peak sales. Risked program value is therefore \$141.2M or \$0.09 per share.

Figure 2: Real option valuation framework for HNSCC commercialisation. Base case scenario

HNSCC TACTI-003 (Efti)					
	TACTI-003 2b topline success	Accelerated FDA approval	Phase III confirmatory & full approval/s	Market access	
Estimated probabilities (p)	100%	52%	70%	90%	
Estimated timing	15/12/2024	30/06/2026	30/09/2028	31/12/2029	
R&D costs (A\$m)	-	-	35.0	-	
S+ (upside values, A\$m)	156.8	355.6	638.8	806.1	
S- (salvage values)	-	-	-	-	
S (start of phase value)	156.7	156.8	355.6	638.8	
q (risk-free probabilities)	1.0	0.5	0.6	0.8	
Real option values (A\$m)	141.2	141.3	320.6	638.8	

Source: Wilsons Advisory.

In **Figure 3** below we modify the model by bringing the launch forward by two years and moving p(success) for accelerated approval to 100%. Notice that in addition to the \$35M Phase III expense, we add a further \$25M opex investment supporting market development and other launch activities. In that we'd also include further exploration in other HNSCC settings. Our reading in the space has led us to see the Efti+KEYTRUDA combination as potentially developable in locally advanced and even neoadjuvant HNSCC. A leading hypothesis as to why so many immune checkpoint inhibitors have failed in these settings is that the concomitant high dose radiation inhibits T cell priming. Here Efti's mechanism as a MCH Class II agonist is possibly quite useful.

Program valuation thus increases 2.4x to \$336.7M or \$0.21 per share with AA. Early commercialisation in HNSCC would likely also obviate the need for capital during the forecast period. There is no change to funding adequacy or our capital requirements in our modelling. Post the June equity raise, Immutep is fully funded through to end CY26 (~\$195M) – at which time we anticipate having passed the TACTI-004 futility analysis (end CY25) and have completed recruitment of that n=750 patient pivotal trial, with an interim (efficacy) analysis also achievable by CY26 end. If we backed out future equity requirements from our model, the AA option would support an overall PT upgrade to \$1.25/share (+19%).

Figure 3: Real option valuation framework for HNSCC commercialisation. AA success scenario

HNSCC TACTI-003 (Efti)					
	TACTI-003 2b topline success	Accelerated FDA approval	Phase III confirmatory & full approval/s	Market access	
Estimated probabilities (p)	100%	100%	70%	90%	
Estimated timing	15/12/2024	30/06/2026	30/06/2026	2/07/2027	
R&D costs (A\$m)	-	25.0	35.0	-	
S+ (upside values, A\$m)	392.0	458.4	654.9	806.1	
S- (salvage values)	-	-	-	-	
S (start of phase value)	391.8	392.0	458.4	654.9	
q (risk-free probabilities)	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.8	
Real option values (A\$m)	336.7	337.1	423.4	654.9	

Source: Wilsons Advisory.

Figure 4: Sum of parts valuation for Immutep (PT \$1.05)

Valuation (SOTP)	Riskied valuation (A\$m)	Comments / methodology	Un-risked valuation (A\$m)
Efti mBC	330	Real options valuation for EU and US market	1,193
Efti HNSCC	141	Real options valuation for EU and US market	427
Efti NSCLC	1,177	Real options valuation for EU and US market	8,683
IMP761	-	Phase I data 2H CY25 to determine addition to valuation	-
LAG525	-	Licensed to Novartis (milestones/royalty optionality)	-
Enterprise value (\$M)	1,648		10,304
EV per share (A\$) - PT	\$ 1.05	Unrisked price per share (A\$)	6.57
SOI used for PT (M)	1,569		
End-FY25e cash (A\$m)	132	Current probability of success within riskied valuation	16%
Equity value per share (A\$)	\$ 1.13		

Source: Wilsons Advisory.

Disclaimers and Disclosures

Recommendation structure and other definitions

Definitions at wilsonsadvisory.com.au/disclosures.

Analyst certification

Each analyst of Wilsons Advisory and Stockbroking Limited (ACN 010 529 665: AFSL 238375) ("Wilsons Advisory") whose name appears in this research certifies that (1) the recommendations and opinions expressed in this research accurately reflect the analyst's personal, independent and objective views about any and all of the subject securities or issuers; (2) no part of the analyst's compensation was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed by the analyst in the research; and (3) to the best of the analyst's knowledge, he/she is not in receipt of material non-public information about the issuer.

Disclaimer

This document has been prepared by Wilsons Advisory. This communication is not to be disclosed in whole or part or used by any other party without Wilsons Advisory's prior written consent. All material presented in this document, unless specifically indicated otherwise, is under copyright to Wilsons Advisory. None of the material, its content, nor any copy of it, may be altered in any way, transmitted to, copied or distributed to any other party, without the prior express written permission of Wilsons Advisory. This document is not directed to, or intended for distribution to or use by, any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or located in any locality, state, country or other jurisdiction where such distribution, publication, availability or use would be contrary to law or regulation or which would subject Wilsons Advisory to any registration or licensing requirement within such jurisdiction.

This document is being supplied to you solely for your information and no action should be taken on the basis of or in reliance on this document. To the extent that any information prepared by Wilsons Advisory contains any financial product advice, it is general advice only and has been prepared by Wilsons Advisory without reference to your objectives, financial situation or needs. You should consider the appropriateness of the advice in light of your own objectives, financial situation and needs before following or relying on the advice. You should also obtain a copy of, and consider, any relevant disclosure document before making any decision to acquire a financial product. Please refer to Wilsons Advisory's Financial Services Guide for more information: wilsonsadvisory.com.au/disclosures. Any person, before acting on any advice contained within this communication, should first consult with a Wilsons Advisory investment adviser to assess whether the advice within this communication is appropriate for their objectives, financial situation and needs. Those acting upon such information without advice do so entirely at their own risk.

This document provided by Wilsons Advisory is current as at the date of the issue but may be superseded by future publications. Wilsons Advisory assumes no obligation to update the information or advise on further developments relating to the company or companies covered in this document ("Companies") or relevant financial products. Wilsons Advisory has not independently verified all of the information given in this document which is provided at a point in time and may not contain all necessary information about the Companies. Wilsons Advisory makes no warranty, express or implied, concerning any information prepared by Wilsons Advisory. Wilsons Advisory expressly disclaims (1) any implied warranty of merchantability or (2) fitness for a particular purpose, including any warranty for the use or the results of the use of any information prepared by Wilsons Advisory with respect to their correctness, quality, accuracy, completeness, reliability, performance, timeliness, or continued availability. Wilsons Advisory's research content should be viewed as an additional investment resource, not as your sole source of information. To the fullest extent permitted by law Wilsons Advisory, its related bodies corporate and their respective officers, directors, employees or agents, disclaim any and all liabilities for any loss or damage howsoever arising in connection with the use of this document or its contents. Past performance does not necessarily indicate a financial product's likely future performance.

This document may contain "forward-looking statements". Forward-looking statements, opinions and estimates provided in this document are based on assumptions and contingencies which are outside the control of Wilsons Advisory and are subject to change without notice (including but not limited to economic conditions, market volatility and company-specific fundamentals), and therefore may not be realised in the future.

This report does not constitute an offer or invitation to purchase any securities and should not be relied upon in connection with any contract or commitment whatsoever.

Disclaimers and Disclosures

| Regulatory disclosure

Wilsons Advisory restricts research analysts from trading in securities for which they write research. Other Wilsons Advisory employees may hold interests in the company, but none of those interests are material. Wilsons Advisory further advises that at the date of this report, neither Wilsons Advisory and Stockbroking Limited or Wilsons Corporate Finance Limited have any material interests in the company. Wilsons Corporate Finance Limited ACN 057 547 323, AFSL 238 383 acted as Joint Lead Manager in the June 2024 pro rata accelerated non-renounceable entitlement offer and Institutional Placement of Immutep Limited Securities for which it received fees or will receive fees for acting in this capacity; acted as Joint Lead Manager and underwriter in the May 2023 pro rata accelerated non-renounceable entitlement offer and Institutional Placement of Immutep Limited Securities for which it received fees or will receive fees for acting in this capacity.

Wilsons Advisory and Stockbroking Limited may have a conflict of interest which investors should consider before making an investment decision. Wilsons Advisory and Stockbroking Limited, Wilsons Corporate Finance Limited and its related bodies corporate trades or may trade as principal in the securities that are subject of the research report. Wilsons Advisory further advises that at the date of this report, neither Wilsons Advisory and Stockbroking Limited or Wilsons Corporate Finance Limited have any material interests in the company. Wilsons Advisory restricts research analysts from trading in securities for which they write research. Other Wilsons Advisory employees may hold interests in the company, but none of those interests are material.

| Wilsons Advisory contact

For more information please phone: 1300 655 015 or email: publications@wilsonsadvisory.com.au